



## ARTIST2 – Year 1 Review

Grenoble, October 3rd-4th, 2005

Cluster Presentation: Testing and Verification

> Kim G. Larsen: Cluster Leader CISS, Aalborg U, DK

Ed Brinksma: Activity Leader Twente U, NL

Yassine Lakhnech: Activity Leader Verimag, F

## Outline of the Presentation

### **Presentation of the Cluster**

- Core and Affiliated Partners, Competencies and Roles
- Research Activities & Platform

### **Description of the Area**

- Main Research Trends
- Industrial Applications

### **State of Integration in Europe**

- European Research Teams
- Main Aims for Integration through Artist2
- Spreading Excellence & Mobility

### **Overall Aims and Vision for the Cluster**

- Overall Assessment
- Recommendations and Visions

## Presentation of the Cluster ?

### Core Partners

- CISS, Aalborg University (real-time verification and testing toos, controller synthesis, security and mobility)
- University of Twente (verification and testing of hybrid and stochastic systems, security)
- ➤ Verimag

(real-time verification and testing, security protocols analysis)

- CFV / Centre Fédéré de Verification (model checking and robustness of hybrid and real-time systems)
- > LSV / CNRS

(model checking, security protocols and logics)

- INRIA / Rennes
   (symbolic testing, security, controller synthesis)
- Uppsala University (real-time verification, testing and schedulability)
- OFFIS, Oldenborg (UML-based verification and testing)

## Presentation of the Cluster ?

### \* Affiliated Partners

**ARTIST2** 

- Masaryk University in Brno (distributed model checking)
- EPFL,Lausanne (model checking embedded
- and hybrid systems)
- Nijmegen (Testing data-dependent systems)
- LIAFA, Paris (Real-time and hybrid model checking)
- University of Firenze (Competency)
- INRIA (Proofs and Protocols)
- FTR&D (security protocols)
- Telelogic (Tool provider)
- IAR Systems A/S (Tool providcer)

- Siemens Mobile Phones A/S (End-user of model-driven methodology)
- ABB Automation
   (Validation of industrial robots)
- EneaEDF(RTOS and testing)
- Terma (Hardware verification)
- SchlumbergerSema
   (Smart card verification)
- Trusted Logics
   (Secure components and Smart Cards)

## **Cluster Activities**

JPRA-Cluster Integration
Quantitative Testing and Verification

## (Ed Brinksma)

- JPRA-Cluster Integration
   Verification of Security Properties (Yassine Lakhnech)
- JPIA-Platform:
   Testing and Verification Platform (Kim G. Larsen)

**ARTIST2** 

## Verification and Testing



## Why Testing and Verification

### **\* POTENTIAL**:

30-40% of production time is currently spend <sub>50%</sub> on elaborate, ad-hoc testing

- The potential of existing/improved testing methods and tools is enormous
- Time-to-market may be shortened considerable by verification and performance analyses of early designs



### COMMONALITY:

Transversal topic, interacts with all other topics in embedded systems design:

- Modelling and Components
- Hard and adaptive real time
- Execution platform
- Compilers and timing analysis
- (verification, model-based testing)
- (optimal scheduling & schedulability analysis)
- (performance analysis, security)
- (WCET and compact code-generation)

## Why Testing and Verification

### **\* IMPORTANCE for EMBEDDED SYSTEMS**

- Often safety critical
- Often economical critical
- Hard to patch

**ARTIST2** 





## **\* CHALLENGES for EMBEDDED SYSTEMS**

- Correctness of embedded systems depend crucially on use of resources (real-time, memory, bandwidth, energy). Need for verification of and conformance testing with respect to quantitative models.
- Participation in mobile ad-hoc networks require particular attention to security aspects.

## Main Research Trends

### Software validation

- > SLAM, Blast, Verisoft, Bandera, Java Pathfinder
- > Abstraction-refinement, static analysis, model checking

### Bounded model-checking

- Exploitation of advances in SAT-solving
- Modelling and validation of non-functional properties
  - > time, hybrid, resource/cost, stochastic phenomena
- Modelling and validation of security properties
- Extended scope of verification technology
  - model-based testing, monitoring
  - scheduling and planning
  - > controller synthesis
- Robustness and Implementability of quantitative models
- Extending the scope for distributed model checking
  - $\succ$  safety properties  $\rightarrow$  liveness properties
  - $\succ$  finite state models  $\rightarrow$  quantitative models

### **ARTIST2**

Network of Excellence on Embedded Systems Design Year1 Review -- Grenoble, October 3rd-4th, 2005

## **Industrial Applications**

A large collection of ongoing industrial projects carried out by individual partners:

### Representative samples:

- France Telecom: formal validation of vocal phone services.
- CEA: verification and validation process of programs with floating-point numbers
- BMW: test the efficiency of the formal verification techniques based on the active front steering (AFS) developed for the 5-Series BMW
- Danfoss: model-based code-generation and testing of a refrigeration controller
- Terma: modelling and verification of memory interface of a radar system
- Ericsson Telebit: domain-specific methodology for off- and on-line test-case generation from so-called RFC
- Analoge Devices: Synthesis of energy optimal schedule for DVS processor
- Work towards a repository of case-studies.

Danfoss Electronic Cooling Controller (16K)



## Testing and Verification in Europe



## Testing and Verification in Europe



## Main Aims for Integration through Artist2

### **\* MAIN AIM:**

Concerted effort on making state-of-the-art verification and testing technology *visible* and *easily accessible* for industry with long term vision of integration in tool chains applied in industry.

## **\* MEANS:**

- Widespread industrial dissemination (*e.g.* work-based learning courses).
- Continuous take-up of techniques in commercial tools, e.g. Esterel, Rhapsody, visualSTATE, Simulink, Trusted Tools, Object Geode.
- Easy (=web) accessible repository of mature tools and case studies.
- Ultimate means: European Verification Grid

## Spreading of Excellence

## **TO INDUSTRY & PhD STUDENTS**

- Dagstuhl Meeting on Testing, September 5-10, 2004
- Formal Methods and Components and Objects, Eindhoven, Nov, 2004
- Embedded Systems Testing Trends and Vision, Aalborg, Dec 1, 2004
- ✤ MOVEP04, Brussels, 13-17 December 2004
- Embedded World, Nürnberg, February 22-24, 2005
- German Verification Day, Oldenborg, March 3, 2005
- Security Spring School, Marseille, April 25-29, 2005
- Workshop on the Links between Formal and Computational Models for Security Protocols, Paris, June 23-24, 2005.
- ARTIST2 Summerschool on Modelling and Components, Testing and Verification, Static Analysis
   Nässlingen, Sweden, September 29 – October 2, 2005
   TECS, Pune, India, January 3-7, 2006.

## Spreading of Excellence

### TO OTHER RESEARCH COMMUNITIES

Model checking increasingly used in other areas. Invited talks and papers at:

- > ICAPS: International Conf. on AI, Planning and Scheduling
- European Journal of Control
- IFAC Annual Reviews in Control
- > ACM Performance Evaluation Review

### **CONFERENCES (Initiator, SC, Chair)**

CAV, TACAS, FORMATS, EMSOFT, CONCUR, ETAPS, PSTV/FORTE, PAPM, HSCC, ARTS, PDMC, FTRTFT, FATES, TESTCOM, ..

## Publications

During first year approximately 100 publications covering areas as

- 1. Optimal scheduling and schedulability analysis
- 2. Monitoring, fault-diagnosis and controller synthesis
- 3. Robustness and implementability of quantitative models
- 4. Real-time testing and verification
- 5. Expressiveness and Decidability Results
- 6. Probabilistic Model Checking
- 7. Modelling and Verification of Security Properties
- 8. Distributed Model Checking
- 9. Case Studies, Methodologies and Tools

13 papers are joint publications between two or more cluster partners.

## **Overall Assessment**

- Each research activity has demonstrated a high level of convergence in goals pursued.
- Extensive list of publications witnesses true excellence within the area.
- Quantitative Testing and Verification and Verification of Security Properties are largely carried out by disjoint groups of people (but highly overlapping teams).
- Quantitative Testing and Verification and Testing and Verification Platform are tightly connected with overlapping groups of people.
- Substantial effort has been put by individual partners in bridging the gap between current industrial practice and existing academic state-of-the-art technology.

## **Recommendations and Vision**

High demand and interest from industry

more disseminating activities for industry should be organized. In particular we suggest a school on:

"Testing, Verification and Security of Embedded Systems"

- Establish cross-cluster activities with other clusters
  - in particular Models and Components.
- It is necessary to involve research teams outside the cluster working on parallel and distributed model checking in pursuing the vision of a European Verification Grid.
- OVERALL VISION: to provide domain-specific testing and verification methodologies for embedded systems wellintegrated with the complete chain of tools applied by industry.

## Schedule & Milestones

### Joint Cluster Meeting (w. parallel sessions) medio December

#### **Quantitative Testing & Verification**

## A. Foundation for black-box testing of real-time systems established T0+6:

a. Soundness and limit-completeness b. Metric for coverage.

#### T0+18:

a. Computability and Complexity of learnability.

#### B. Improved tools for quantitative analysis with experimental evaluation T0+6:

a. Improved symbolic datastructuresb. Heuristics for efficient guidingT0+18:

a. Abstraction methods b. Comparison with (MI)LP and OR

#### C. Industrial case studies.

T0+6: Collection of case studies on web. T0+18: Classification of case studies

### Verification of Security Properties

#### A: Cryptographic protocols T0+6:

a. A common language for security protocols

b. A publicly available data base of security protocols and their

analysis (attacks, proofs,

assumptions/properties,...)

#### T0+18:

a. A validation tool set that is

accessible via the web. b. Two industrial case studies that

are already available.

## **B: Certification technology and virtual machine validation**

#### T0+6:

A methodology for certification of the levels EAL6 and EAL7 of the common criteria.

#### T0+18:

A tool set for certification of the levels EAL6 and EAL7 of the common criteria.

### **Testing & Verification Platform**

#### A. Testing and Verification Server:

T0+06: Evaluation of

server

Evaluation of main testing and verification tools wrt maturity for integration.

#### **T0+18:** Installed and configured (virtual)

**B.** Parallel and Distribution Model Checking (PDMC):

#### T0+6: Evaluation of tools currently supporting PDMC on local PCclusters. T0+18:

Design of coordination layer for integrating PDMC methods.

### C. European Test and Verification GRID

T0+6: Preevaluation of UPPAAL running on NORDUGRID T0+18: Design of GRID infrastructure





## Schedule & Milestones

### Joint Cluster Meeting (w. parallel sessions) medio December

#### **Quantitative Testing & Verification**

- A. Foundation for black-box testing of real-time systems established T0+6:
  - a. Soundness and limit-completenessb. Metric for coverage.

#### T0+18:

- a. Computability and Complexity of learnability.
- b. Robustness and Implementability

# B. Improved tools for quantitative analysis with experimental evaluation T0+6: a. Improved symbolic datastructures b. Houristics for officient guiding

b. Heuristics for efficient guiding **T0+18**:

- a. Abstraction methods
- b. Comparison with (MI)LP and OR
- c. Stochastic Model Checking
- d. Controller Synthesis

#### C. Industrial case studies.

T0+6: Collection of case studies on web. T0+18: Classification of case studies

### Verification of Security Properties

- A: Cryptographic protocols T0+6:
  - a. A common language for security protocolsb. A publicly available data base of
  - security protocols and their
  - analysis (attacks, proofs,
  - assumptions/properties,...)

#### T0+18:

- a. A validation tool set that isaccessible via the web.b. Two industrial case studies that
- are already available.

## **B: Certification technology and virtual machine validation**

#### T0+6:

A methodology for certification of the levels EAL6 and EAL7 of the common criteria.

#### T0+18:

A (prototype) tool set for certification of the levels EAL6 and EAL7 of the common criteria.

### **Testing & Verification Platform**

A. Testing and Verification Server: T0+06: Evaluation of main testing and verification tools wrt maturity for integration.

### T0+18:

Installed and configured (virtual) server Links to mature/stable versions

#### **B.** Parallel and Distribution Model Checking (PDMC):

**T0+6:** Evaluation of tools currently supporting PDMC on local PCclusters.

#### T0+18:

(Initiate) design of coordination layer for integrating PDMC methods.

C. European Test and Verification GRID T0+6: Preevaluation of UPPAAL running on NORDUGRID T0+18:

Design of GRID infrastructure