

Intruder deductions with AC symbols.

Sergiu Bursuc
work with Hubert Comon and Stephanie Delaune

ARTIST 2 Workshop, May 2006

Outline

- 1 An approach to the analysis of cryptographic protocols.
 - From protocol specification to formal models of security.
 - Handling algebraic properties: Finite variant property.
- 2 Current problem.
 - Definition.
 - Examples.
 - Partial results.

Protocol specification and intruder theory.

Protocol specification: agents send and receive messages.

$$A(\bar{z}) = \lambda \bar{x} \nu \bar{N} : \begin{cases} u_1 \longrightarrow v_1 \\ u_2 \longrightarrow v_2 \\ \dots \\ u_m \longrightarrow v_m \end{cases}$$

Protocol execution: bounded number of sessions.

$$A(p, q) \mid A(q, r) \mid A(p, r) \mid B(p, q) \mid B(q, r)$$

Intruder capabilities:

- Knows any message from the network.
- Knows the information of compromised agents.
- Can construct and send messages to any agent.
- T_0, I, E .

Intruder modelisation.

Execute the protocol: guess an interleaving of actions

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_1 \longrightarrow v_1 \\ u_2 \longrightarrow v_2 \\ \dots \\ u_n \longrightarrow v_n \end{array} \right.$$

Security issue: accessibility of this guess

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} T_0 & \Vdash u_1 \\ T_0, v_1 & \Vdash u_2 \\ & \dots \\ T_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} & \Vdash u_n \\ T_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_n & \Vdash \textit{secret} \end{array} \right.$$

Formal model - constraint systems

Ground deducibility: $v_1, \dots, v_n \vdash_{I,E} U$

Constraint systems: Syntax

$$C = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} T_1 \quad \Vdash \quad u_1 \\ T_1, T_2 \quad \Vdash \quad u_2 \\ \dots \\ T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n \quad \Vdash \quad u_n \end{array} \right.$$

Syntactic properties:

- Monotonicity: no information is lost.
- Origination: a variable first appears on the right.

Semantics: σ satisfies C in (I, E) if

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} T_1 \sigma \quad \vdash_{I,E} \quad u_1 \sigma \\ T_1 \sigma, T_2 \sigma \quad \vdash_{I,E} \quad u_2 \sigma \\ \dots \\ T_1 \sigma, T_2 \sigma, \dots, T_n \sigma \quad \vdash_{I,E} \quad u_n \sigma \end{array} \right.$$

Equational theories and finite variant property.

H.Comon-Lundh and S.Delaune - 2005

Protocol insecurity \equiv Satisfiability of C in (I, E) .

Finite variant property: reduce E to AC .

- $C \longrightarrow \text{Var}(C)$
- $I \longrightarrow \text{Var}(I)$
- C is satisfiable in (I, E) iff
 $\exists C' \in \text{Var}(C)$: C' is satisfiable in $(\text{Var}(I), AC)$.

Relevant equational theories: AG, ACUN, Diffie-Helman, etc.

Example: AG.

$$\begin{array}{ll} x * (y * z) = (x * y) * z & x * x^{-1} = 1 \\ x * y = y * x & x * 1 = x \end{array}$$

Practical protocol: France Telecom.

Definition of the problem.

- Terms.**
- *Constants:* a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n
 - *Ground terms:* $t = \sum_i \lambda_i a_i$, where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{N}$
 - *Terms with variables:* $v = t + \sum_x \lambda_x x$.

Deducibility relation for ground terms.

$$v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \vdash u \text{ if } \exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{N}: u = \sum_i \lambda_i v_i.$$

Constraint systems:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} T_1 \quad \Vdash \quad u_1 \\ T_1, T_2 \quad \Vdash \quad u_2 \\ \dots \\ T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n \quad \Vdash \quad u_n \end{array} \right.$$

Monotonicity: no information is lost.

Origination: a variable first appears on the right.

Question: Is there a substitution σ s.t. for any i :

$$T_1\sigma, T_2\sigma, \dots, T_i\sigma \vdash u_i\sigma?$$

Examples

- Example 1.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2a \Vdash X + a \\ 2a, X + c \Vdash Y + c \\ 2a, X + c, Y \Vdash 2a + c \end{array} \right.$$

Solution: $X = a, Y = a$

- Example 2.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} a + 2b \Vdash 2X \\ a + 2b, X + b \Vdash 2X + a \end{array} \right.$$

Solution: does not exist.

- Example 3.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} a \Vdash X \\ a, X + b \Vdash Y + b \\ a, X + b, Y + c \Vdash 2X + c \end{array} \right.$$

Dependencies: $Y = X + \lambda a, 2X = Y + \lambda' a$

Difficulties

Undecidability: without monotonicity.

- i.e code multiplication.

Straightforward approach: introduces non-linearities.

- $\begin{cases} a \Vdash X \\ a, X \Vdash Y \end{cases}$
- $\begin{cases} X = \lambda a \\ Y = \lambda' a + \lambda'' \lambda a \end{cases}$

Particular case - a single variable on the right.

Definition:
$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} T_1 \Vdash u_1 \\ T_2 \Vdash u_2 \\ \dots \\ T_n \Vdash u_n \end{array} \right. , \text{ where } u_i = \beta_i X_i + \gamma_i$$

Approach:

- Search for minimal solutions.
- Partition the set of variables into equivalence classes.
- Characterize the relation between minimal solutions of some subsystems.
- Reduce the system to a smaller one by eliminating the minimal class.

Details of the proof

Useful terms: Guess $U_i \subseteq T_i$

Occurence relation: $X \prec_{occ} Y$ iff $\exists i, v$ s.t. $\begin{cases} Y \in Var(u_i) \\ X \in Var(v) \\ v \in U_i \end{cases}$

Equivalence classes: $X =_{occ} Y$ iff $X \prec_{occ} Y$ and $Y \prec_{occ} X$

Goal of the following lemmas: Eliminate a minimal class of $=_{occ}$.

Details of the proof

Lemma 1: If $=_{occ}$ has a single equivalence class.

Then $\exists C$ which bounds the λ -coefficient of every non-ground term.

$$\begin{array}{l}
 X_1 \prec_{occ} X_2 \prec_{occ} \dots \prec_{occ} X_n \prec_{occ} X_1 \\
 \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
 \lambda_1 X_1 + t_1 = \beta_1 X_2 + \gamma_1 \\
 \lambda_2 X_2 + t_2 = \beta_2 X_3 + \gamma_2 \\
 \dots \\
 \lambda_n X_n + t_n = \beta_n X_1 + \gamma_n
 \end{array} \right.
 \end{array}$$

Corollary: Linear system $(X, \Lambda) = (X_0, \Lambda_0) + \sum c_i w_i$

Minimal class: M, S' - the subsystem determined by $M, X \in M$

$$X\sigma = X\theta + \sum c_i w_i^X$$

σ - a general solution of S'

θ - a minimal solution of S'

w_i - minimal solutions of S'_h

Details of the proof

Notation: x - the index of the constraint introducing X .

Lemma 2: $\exists \beta$ s.t. $\beta w_i^X = \sum \lambda_t t$, with $t \in T_X$ and t -ground.

Proof: $X \prec'_{occ} Y$ iff $(X \prec_{occ} Y, x < y)_{lex}$

Use induction.

Lemma 3: If σ - minimal solution of S and $X \in M$ then

$\exists \theta$ - a minimal solution of S' ,

$\exists w(S')$ - a vector depending only on S' s.t.

$X\sigma \leq X\theta + w$.

Proof: Use Lemma 2, origination and monotonicity.

Corollary: Eliminate M by solving S'

Future work

- Decidability of the (general) pure AC case.
- Combination results.
- Long term goal: be able to make a program for analysing real-world protocols from a generic class (i.e. France Telecom protocol)