F. Mallet, J. DeAntoni, C. André, R. de Simone

Aoste - INRIA/I3S

Université de Nice Sophia Antipolis

UML & Formal methods

- Ambiguity and structural properties of basic sequence diagrams
 - Interactions + trace



- Extending statecharts with process algebra operators
 - Untimed StateMachines + CSP



- UML Behavioral consistency checking using instantiable Petri Nets
 - Activities + PN





- Timing analysis and validation with UML
 - StateMachines + Timed automata





- Compare their constraining power : level of concurrency
- Do we want to choose between all these ?
 - Use UML as a framework for combining all of these semantics
 - Apply directors (like in Ptolemy) to choose the suitable semantics

Profiles to give the semantics



□ How to combine these two diagrams ?

Put them next to each others ?

Proposition

What

Explicit execution semantics within the model

How

- Annotate the meta-model
- Execution semantics defined with MARTE/CCSL

UML Profile for MARTE and CCSL

- Modeling and Analysis of Real-Time and Embedded systems
 - Time model => Timed Causality Semantics to UML models
- CCSL: MARTE Companion Modeling Language
 - Apply to any (EMF) model => UML or not

Example

- UML (activity/state machine): <u>Synchronous</u> <u>Data</u> low graphs
- CCSL Library for SDF

CCSL – Polychronous systems

Clock Model

- Clock C= $\langle \mathcal{I}, \prec \rangle$, infinite ordered set of instants
 - Discrete-time clocks: $\mathcal I$ is discrete and indexed by $\mathbb N^*$



CCSL – Polychronous systems

Clock Model

- Clock C= $\langle \mathcal{I}, \prec \rangle$, infinite ordered set of instants
 - Discrete-time clocks: $\mathcal I$ is discrete and indexed by $\mathbb N^*$
- Instant relations: coincidence, (strict) precedence, exclusion
- Clock relations:
 - infinitely many instant relations according to predefined patterns (periodicity, alternation, sampling, ...)



CCSL – Polychronous systems

□ Clock Model (static)

- Clock C= $\langle \mathcal{I}, \prec \rangle$, infinite ordered set of instants
- Instant/clock relations = constraints
- □ Time system (dynamic)
 - Clocks = set of boolean variables
 - Constraints = set of boolean equations => SAT problem



CCSL clock constraint - precedence

Precedence

A precedes B(strict form)written as $A \prec B$ Semantics $(\forall k \in \mathbb{N}^*) A[k] \prec B[k]$ $\frac{\beta}{A \prec B} = (\chi(A) = \chi(B))$ $A \prec B = (\beta \Rightarrow \neg B)$ Logical representation

Simulation



Use: causal dependency or asynchronous communication

CCSL clock constraint - Synchrony

Synchrony

A = Bwritten as

B A

Semantics

$$(\forall k \in \mathbb{N}^*) A[k] \equiv B[k]$$



Simulation



Use: synchronous evolutions

CCSL clock constraint-filtering

Filtering

B = A filteredBy w written as $B \models A \checkmark w$ where $w \in \mathbb{B}^{\omega}$ (infinite) Binary Word **Semantics** $(\forall k \in \mathbb{N}^*) B[k] \equiv A [w \uparrow k]$ where $w \uparrow k$ is the index of the k^{th} 1 in w $\frac{\beta \quad (w=1.v)}{A \lor w = (\beta \land A)}$ Logical representation Simulation 2 3 4 5 8 6 outPixel endOfLine **On-demand visualization** $endOfLine = outPixel \mathbf{v} (0^7.1)^{\omega}$ of coincidence relation between instants

Use: a special case of synchrony (on selected instants)

Synchronous Data Flow (SDF)

Data Flow graphs

- Directed graphs
- Nodes = functions/computations
- Arcs = data path

Synchronous Data Flow

[E.A. Lee, 1987]

- Static number of data samples consumed/produced by each node
- Well-suited for multi-rate DSP algorithms with continuous stream of data
- Reduction of <u>Kahn-Process</u> <u>Networks</u> to allow static scheduling and ease parallelization
- Equivalent to Computation Graphs [Karp & Miller, 1966]
- Popular due to Ptolemy developed in Berkeley

Building Synchronous Data Flow graphs with UML and MARTE/CCSL



- Nodes are called actors
- Arcs have a delay
- Input/Output have a weight
 - Number of data samples consumed/produced



Equivalent to a Marked-Event Graph

- Conflict-free Petri Net
- Static scheduling: A A BA A B

How to model SDF graphs in UML?



Where is the semantics ? Is that compatible with the UML semantics ?

CCSL makes the semantics explicit within the model



CCSL Library for SDF (1/2)



CCSL Library for SDF (2/2)



def arc(int delay, clock source, int out, clock target, int in) ≜ output(source, write, out); token(write, read, delay); input(target, read, in)



Conclusion

□ (UML) Models must come with

- A meta-model to describe the structural/composition rules
- An explicit execution semantics
- CCSL can be used for describing
 - Temporal patterns
 - Causal relationships
- MARTE: attach CCSL specifications to UML models
- □ TimeSquare can
 - execute CCSL specifications
 - Animate DI2 models in Papyrus
 - http://www.inria.fr/sophia/aoste/time_square/