

Geometry of Interaction

JY Girard



S Abramsky



I Mackie



Gol 1989

New foundations 1992

Token machines 1995





Gol Components

$$\begin{array}{c} & \varphi(l_{0},n) = (l_{2},pn) \\ \varphi(l_{1},n) = (l_{2},qn) \\ \varphi(l_{2},pn) = (l_{0},n) \\ \varphi(l_{2},qn) = (l_{1},n) \\ l_{0} - d - l_{1} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \varphi(l_{0},n) = (l_{2},n) \\ \varphi(l_{2},pn) = (l_{0},n) \\ \varphi(l_{2},qn) = (l_{1},n) \\ d(l_{0},\langle i+1,n\rangle) = \text{undefined} \\ d(l_{1},n) = (l_{0},\langle 0,n\rangle) \\ l_{0} - \delta - l_{1} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \delta(l_{0},\langle \langle i,j\rangle,n\rangle) = (l_{1},\langle i,\langle j,n\rangle) \\ \delta(l_{1},\langle i,\langle j,n\rangle) = (l_{0},\langle \langle i,j\rangle,n\rangle) \\ = (l_{0},\langle \langle i,j\rangle,n\rangle) \\ = (l_{0},\langle \langle i,j\rangle,n\rangle) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \psi(l_{0},pn) = (l_{1},n) \\ \psi(l_{0},qn) = (l_{2},n) \\ \psi(l_{1},n) = (l_{0},qn) \\ \psi(l_{2},n) = (l_{0},\langle i,n\rangle) \\ \psi(l_{1},n) = (l_{1},\langle i,n\rangle) \\ \psi(l_{1}$$



Standard Gol Interpretation





 $\Theta \mid \mathsf{\Gamma}; \Delta \vdash \lambda \mathsf{x}^{\mathsf{A}}\mathsf{M} : \mathsf{A} \multimap \mathsf{B}$





Θ | Γ; – ⊦!M :!A

 $\Theta \mid \Gamma; \Delta \# \Delta' \vdash \text{let } !x \text{ be } N \text{ in } M : A$ $\Delta \# \Delta' - \pi \bigwedge_{\Gamma} \bigwedge_{\Gamma} M \longrightarrow_{\Gamma} M$ $\Gamma - c \qquad \Gamma$





Ghica, POPL 2007



Imperative constants in GoS





 $par: I \rightarrow com \Rightarrow com \Rightarrow com$

while : $I \rightarrow bool \otimes com \Rightarrow com$





- finite state IAMs (cf Gol)
 - esp. the diagonal $\delta: G \to G \otimes G$
 - synthesizable in hardware



- finite state IAMs (cf Gol)
 - esp. the diagonal $\delta: G \to G \otimes G$
 - synthesizable in hardware
- "atomic" tokens (cf Gol)
 - "routing" deduced from context



- finite state IAMs (cf Gol)
 - esp. the diagonal $\delta: G \to G \otimes G$
 - synthesizable in hardware
- "atomic" tokens (cf Gol)
 - "routing" deduced from context
- timing independent
 - compatible with asynchronous (GoS II @ MFPS '10)
 - compatible with synchronous (RA @ Concur '10)



- finite state IAMs (cf Gol)
 - esp. the diagonal $\delta: G \to G \otimes G$
 - synthesizable in hardware
- "atomic" tokens (cf Gol)
 - "routing" deduced from context
- timing independent
 - compatible with asynchronous (GoS II @ MFPS '10)
 - compatible with synchronous (RA @ Concur '10)
- full functional interface
 - FFI, ABI



Limitations of GoS



Can't be implemented finite-state. GMO @ APAL'08



 $(\lambda f \lambda x. f x || f x) (\lambda c. c || c)$



$(\lambda f \lambda x. f x || f x) (\lambda c. c || c)$





 $(\lambda f \lambda x.f x || f x) (\lambda c.c || c)$ $(\lambda c_1 c_2 . c_1 || c_2)$



 $(\lambda f \lambda x. f x || f x) (\lambda c. c || c)$

 $(\lambda f_1 f_2 \lambda x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 f_1 x_1 x_2 || f_2 x_3 x_4) (\lambda c_1 c_2 c_1 || c_2)$



Type-directed transformation

 $x: \theta \vdash x: \theta$ Identity $\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \theta}{\Gamma, x : \theta' \vdash M : \theta}$ Weakening $\frac{\Gamma, x: \theta, y: \theta \vdash M: \theta'}{\Gamma, x: \theta \vdash M[x/y]: \theta'}$ Contraction $\frac{\Gamma, x: \theta' \vdash M: \theta}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.M: \theta' \to \theta}$ Abstraction $\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \theta \to \theta' \qquad \Gamma \vdash N : \theta}{\Gamma \vdash MN : \theta'}$ Application $\frac{\Gamma \vdash M_i : \theta_i}{\Gamma \vdash \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle : \theta_1 \times \theta_2}$ Product

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \theta \longrightarrow \theta' \qquad \Gamma' \vdash N : \theta}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash MN : \theta'}$$
 Application



Type-directed transformation

 $x: \theta \vdash x: \theta$ Identity $\frac{\Gamma \vdash M: \theta}{\Gamma, x: \theta' \vdash M: \theta}$ Weakening $\frac{\Gamma, x: \theta, y: \theta \vdash M: \theta'}{\Gamma, x: \theta \vdash M[x/y]: \theta'}$ Contraction $\frac{\Gamma, x: \theta^m, y: \theta^n \vdash M: \theta'}{\Gamma, x: \theta^{m+n} \vdash M[x/y]: \theta'}$ Contraction (new) $\frac{\Gamma, x: \theta' \vdash M: \theta}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.M: \theta' \rightarrow \theta} \text{Abstraction} \qquad \overline{\Gamma, x: \theta^{m+n} \vdash M[x/y]: \theta'} \text{Contraction (new)}$ $\frac{\Gamma \vdash M: \theta \rightarrow \theta' \qquad \Gamma \vdash N: \theta}{\Gamma \vdash MN: \theta'} \text{Application} \qquad \overline{\Gamma, n \cdot \Gamma' \vdash MN: \theta'} \text{Application (new)}$ $\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \theta \qquad \theta \leq \theta'}{\Gamma \vdash M : \theta'}$ Subtyping $\frac{\Gamma \vdash M_i : \theta_i}{\Gamma \vdash \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle : \theta_1 \times \theta_2}$ Product

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \theta \longrightarrow \theta' \qquad \Gamma' \vdash N : \theta}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash MN : \theta'}$$
 Application



Step 1: Inferring bounds

The key rules are for contraction

$$\frac{\Gamma', x: \theta^{n_1}, y: \theta^{n_2} \vdash M: \theta' \blacktriangleright C}{\Gamma, x: \theta^n \vdash M[x/y]: \theta' \blacktriangleright |\Gamma = \Gamma'| \land n \ge n_1 + n_2}$$

and application

$$\Gamma \vdash M : \theta_1^k \to \theta_2 \blacktriangleright C \qquad \Delta \vdash N : (\theta_1)' \blacktriangleright C'$$
$$\Gamma', \Delta' \vdash MN : \theta_2' \blacktriangleright |\Delta \le \Delta'| \land |\Gamma = \Gamma'| \land \left(\bigwedge_{\substack{x:\theta^n \in \Delta \\ x:\theta^{n'} \in \Delta'}} n' \ge k \cdot n\right)$$



Example

 $\lambda f x. f(f(x))$

	$g: (\operatorname{com}^{n_6} \to \operatorname{com})^{n_7} \vdash g: \operatorname{com}^{n_6} \to \operatorname{com} \blacktriangleright n_7 \ge 1 \qquad x: \operatorname{com}^{n_9} \vdash x: \operatorname{com} \blacktriangleright n_9 \ge 1$
$f: (\operatorname{com}^{n_1} \to \operatorname{com})^{n_4} \vdash f: (\operatorname{com}^{n_1} \to \operatorname{com}) \blacktriangleright n_4 \ge 1$	$g:(\operatorname{com}^{n_6}\to\operatorname{com})^{n_7},x:\operatorname{com}^{n_8}\vdash g(x):\operatorname{com}\blacktriangleright n_8\geq n_6\cdot n_9$
$f: (\operatorname{com}^{n_1} \to \operatorname{com})^{n_4}, g: (\operatorname{com}^{n_1} \to \operatorname{com})^{n_5}, x: \operatorname{com}^{n_3} \vdash f(g(x)): \operatorname{com} \blacktriangleright n_5 \ge n_1 \cdot n_7 \land n_6 \ge n_1 \land n_3 \ge n_1 \cdot n_8$	
$f:(com^{n_1} \to com)^{n_2}, x \in$	$: \operatorname{com}^{n_3} \vdash f(f(x)) : \operatorname{com} \blacktriangleright n_2 \ge n_4 + n_5$
$\vdash \lambda f \lambda x. f(f(x)) : (com)$	$(n^{n_1} \to com)^{n_2} \to com^{n_3} \to com) \triangleright true$

$$n_2 \ge n_4 + n_5 \wedge n_5 \ge n_1 \cdot n_7 \wedge n_6 \ge n_1 \wedge n_3 \ge n_1 \cdot n_8$$
$$\wedge n_8 \ge n_6 \cdot n_9 \wedge n_9 \ge 1 \wedge n_7 \ge 1 \wedge n_4 \ge 1$$
$$(n_1 = 2)$$





- system is decidable and effectively solveable
 - not all programs can type



- system is decidable and effectively solveable
 - not all programs can type
- "minimal" solution can be found



- system is decidable and effectively solveable
 - not all programs can type
- "minimal" solution can be found
- "assume" bounds must be provided
 - "guarantees" are inferred



- system is decidable and effectively solveable
 - not all programs can type
- "minimal" solution can be found
- "assume" bounds must be provided
 - "guarantees" are inferred
- generic solutions seem impossible



- system is decidable and effectively solveable
 - not all programs can type
- "minimal" solution can be found
- "assume" bounds must be provided
 - "guarantees" are inferred
- generic solutions seem impossible $\lambda q.(\lambda g.g(\lambda x.g(qx)))(\lambda b.(\lambda k.((k(\lambda u.u))(\lambda l.((kb)))(\lambda t.((kb)))))))(\lambda v.\lambda w.wv))$

 $: (\operatorname{com}^{m} \to \operatorname{com}^{n} \to \operatorname{com})^{n^{2}} \to \operatorname{com},$

which types only if $m \leq 1$.



Step 2: Serialization

 $\Gamma \vdash M : \theta_1 \Longrightarrow \Gamma' \vdash M' : \theta_1 \qquad \theta_1 < \theta_2$ $\Gamma \vdash M : \theta_2 \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \text{subtype}_{\theta_1 < \theta_2} (M') : \overline{\theta_2}$ $\Gamma, x: \theta_1^{n_1}, y: \theta_1^{n_2} \vdash M: \theta_2$ $\Longrightarrow \Gamma', x_1: \theta_1, \dots, x_{n_1}: \theta_1, y_1: \theta_1, \dots, y_{n_2}: \theta_1 \vdash M': \theta_2$ $\Gamma, x: \theta_1^{n_1+n_2} \vdash M[x/y]: \theta_2$ $\Longrightarrow \Gamma', x_1 : \overline{\theta}_1, \dots, x_{n_1+n_2} : \theta_1 \vdash$ $M[x_{n_1+1}/y_1] \cdots [x_{n_1+n_2}/y_{n_2}] : \theta_2$ $\Gamma \vdash M : \theta_1^n \to \theta_2 \Longrightarrow \Gamma' \vdash M' : \theta_1^n \to \theta_2$ $\Delta \vdash N : \theta_1 \Longrightarrow \Delta' \vdash N' : \overline{\theta_1}$ $\Gamma, n \cdot \Delta \vdash MN : \theta_2$

 $\Longrightarrow \Gamma', \Delta'_1, \dots, \Delta'_n \vdash M'(N'[\Delta'_1/\Delta']) \cdots (N'[\Delta'_n/\Delta']) : \overline{\theta_2}$



Serializing constants

$$\begin{array}{l} \overline{\mathsf{newvar}}:(\mathsf{var}^{\mathsf{n}}\to\mathsf{com})\to\mathsf{com}\\ =\mathsf{newvar}_{\mathsf{n}}:\overline{(\mathsf{var}^{\mathsf{n}}\to\mathsf{com})\to\mathsf{com}}\\ =\mathsf{newvar}_{\mathsf{n}}:(\underbrace{\mathsf{var}\to\cdots\to\mathsf{var}}_{\mathsf{n}\;\mathsf{times}}\to\mathsf{com})\to\mathsf{com}. \end{array}$$

new x in C \Leftrightarrow newvar ($\lambda x.C$) new3 x1, x2, x3 in C \Leftrightarrow newvar3. ($\lambda x1.x2.x3.C$)



Example



Comparisons

$$\begin{aligned} f: \operatorname{com}^{1} \to \operatorname{com}. \\ \lambda f x. f(f x): (\operatorname{com}^{1} \to \operatorname{com})^{2} \to \operatorname{com}^{1} \to \operatorname{com} & \Longrightarrow \lambda f_{1} f_{2} x. f_{1}(f_{2} x) \\ \lambda f x. f x; f x: (\operatorname{com}^{1} \to \operatorname{com})^{1} \to \operatorname{com}^{1} \to \operatorname{com} & \Longrightarrow \lambda f x. f x; f x \\ \lambda f x. f x||f x: (\operatorname{com}^{1} \to \operatorname{com})^{2} \to \operatorname{com}^{2} \to \operatorname{com} \\ & \longmapsto \lambda f_{1} f_{2} x_{1} x_{2}. f_{1} x_{1}; f_{2} x_{2} x$$



Comparisons







- GoS: functional interface synthesis
 - focus on the interconnect



- GoS: functional interface synthesis
 - focus on the interconnect
- many h/o programs can be mapped to hardware



- GoS: functional interface synthesis
 - focus on the interconnect
- many h/o programs can be mapped to hardware
- includes imperative and concurrency primitives (par, sem)
 - can also handle control (exceptions)



- GoS: functional interface synthesis
 - focus on the interconnect
- many h/o programs can be mapped to hardware
- includes imperative and concurrency primitives (par, sem)
 - can also handle control (exceptions)
- timing-model independent



- GoS: functional interface synthesis
 - focus on the interconnect
- many h/o programs can be mapped to hardware
- includes imperative and concurrency primitives (par, sem)
 - can also handle control (exceptions)
- timing-model independent
- compiler to HDL (prototype, target FPGAs)



- GoS: functional interface synthesis
 - focus on the interconnect
- many h/o programs can be mapped to hardware
- includes imperative and concurrency primitives (par, sem)
 - can also handle control (exceptions)
- timing-model independent
- compiler to HDL (prototype, target FPGAs)
- serialization akin to Kfoury's linearization



- GoS: functional interface synthesis
 - focus on the interconnect
- many h/o programs can be mapped to hardware
- includes imperative and concurrency primitives (par, sem)
 - can also handle control (exceptions)
- timing-model independent
- compiler to HDL (prototype, target FPGAs)
- serialization akin to Kfoury's linearization
- recursion (ongoing, with A Smith, S Singh)



- GoS: functional interface synthesis
 - focus on the interconnect
- many h/o programs can be mapped to hardware
- includes imperative and concurrency primitives (par, sem)
 - can also handle control (exceptions)
- timing-model independent
- compiler to HDL (prototype, target FPGAs)
- serialization akin to Kfoury's linearization
- recursion (ongoing, with A Smith, S Singh)
- pipelining (ongoing, with A Smith)



- GoS: functional interface synthesis
 - focus on the interconnect
- many h/o programs can be mapped to hardware
- includes imperative and concurrency primitives (par, sem)
 - can also handle control (exceptions)
- timing-model independent
- compiler to HDL (prototype, target FPGAs)
- serialization akin to Kfoury's linearization
- recursion (ongoing, with A Smith, S Singh)
- pipelining (ongoing, with A Smith)
- CBV, het'geneous computing (ongoing, with H Thielecke)



References

- DR Ghica, A Smith. Geometry of Synthesis III: Resource Management Through Type Inference. POPL 2011 (forthcoming)
- DR Ghica, MN Menaa. On the Compositionality of Round Abstraction. CONCUR 2010: 417-431
- DR Ghica, <u>A Smith</u>. Geometry of Synthesis II: From Games to Delay-Insensitive Circuits. <u>ENTCS 265</u>: 301-324 (2010)
- DR Ghica. Applications of Game Semantics: From Program Analysis to Hardware Synthesis. <u>LICS 2009</u>: 17-26
- DR Ghica. Function Interface Models for Hardware Compilation: Types, Signatures, Protocols <u>CoRR abs/0907.0749</u>: (2009)
- DR Ghica. Geometry of synthesis: a structured approach to VLSI design. <u>POPL 2007</u>: 363-375
- DR Ghica, <u>AS Murawski</u>, <u>CHL Ong</u>: Syntactic control of concurrency. <u>TCS</u> <u>350</u>(2-3): 234-251 (2006)
 <u>UNIVERSITY</u>OF



BIRMINGHAM